



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/599,498

09/29/2006

Kevin Taylor

007412.00070

9858

71867

7590

09/25/2009

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD

ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NUMBER 007412

1100 13th STREET, N.W.

SUITE 1200

WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051

EXAMINER

SHEPARD, JUSTIN E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2424

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/25/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |                                      |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/599,498 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>TAYLOR ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Justin E. Shepard | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2424              |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Justin E. Shepard. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) William Allen. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Addington and Eshun.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant and examiner discussed the interpretation of Addington and Eshun but did not come to an agreement. The examiner suggested that the applicant send in an amendment so that claim language can be figured out that will overcome the prior art and move the prosecution forward.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  | /Christopher Kelley/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424 |
|--|--|